SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S196830 B209056 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

EL-ATTAR (OSAMAH) v. HOLLYWOOD PRESBYTERIAN MEDICAL CENTER

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S196711 B227137 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ROBERTO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lara*, S192784 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S196985 C063362 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG (TONY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Appellant's petition for review is denied. The People's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Favor*, \$189317 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S197225 A130996 First Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE R.C.

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its order denying minor's petition for rehearing and to issue a new order granting the petition for rehearing. (Gov. Code § 68081.) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

(AA)

S132646

JONES (MICHAEL LAMONT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed March 30, 2005, is denied.

All claims are denied on the merits, except Claim 15(F) (on lethal injection), which is denied as premature, without prejudice to renewal after an execution date is set.

All claims are denied as untimely (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780-781), except Claims 15(A-E), 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24, and, to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of prior habeas corpus counsel, Claim 17.

The following claims and subclaims also are denied on the ground that they were raised and rejected on appeal: 2(F) (to the extent raised on appeal), 3, 5(A-F), 6(A-C), 9(A), 10(A), 10(B) (to the extent raised on appeal), 11(A), and 14 (as to denial of motion for new trial). (*In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

The following claims and subclaims also are denied because they were raised and rejected in petitioner's first petition for writ of habeas corpus (S094239): 3, 4 (to the extent raised in the first petition), 7(A), 7(M), 8(E), 12(A-G) (to the extent raised in the first petition), 12(I), 12(Q) (to the extent raised in the first petition), 13 (to the extent raised in the first petition), 19 (to the extent raised in the first petition), and 20 (to the extent the claim of youth was raised in the first petition). (*In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

The following claims and subclaims also are denied on the ground that they could have been, but were not, raised on appeal: 2(E), 2(F) (to the extent not raised on appeal), 5(G), 6(D), 7(F-I), 9(B-D), 10(B) (to the extent not raised on appeal), 11(B) (except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel), 11(C-G), 14 (as to denial of motion to modify death sentence), and 16. (*In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

The following claims and subclaims also are denied on the ground that they are successive because they could have been, but were not, raised in petitioner's first petition for writ of habeas corpus: 1, 2(A-G), 4 (to the extent not raised in the first petition), 5, 6(A-D), 7(B-L), 8(A-D, F-Y), 9(A-E), 10(A-B), 11(A-G), 12(A-G) (to the extent not raised in the first petition), 12 (H), 12(J-P), 12(Q) (to the extent not raised in the first petition), 13 (to the extent not raised in the first petition), 14, 16, 17 (except to the extent it alleges ineffective assistance of prior habeas corpus counsel), and 19 (to the extent not raised in the first petition). (*In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 774-775.)

Claim 2(A) is forfeited because petitioner failed to raise it in the trial court. (*In re Seaton* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 200.) Justice Werdegar would deny this claim solely on the merits.

To the extent Claim 4 challenges the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the convictions, it is not cognizable on habeas corpus. (*In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S196211 E051927 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MONTEZ (JULIAN)

S196322 B222962 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (DANIEL ISIDRO)

Petition for review denied

B231520 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 S196570 **BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION v. S.C.** (RONALD)

Petition for review denied

Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

S196615 B224372 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ELIAS (JOSE D.)

Petitions for review denied

S196617 B226242 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (ANGELA MARIE)

Petition for review denied

S196661 G043562 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE JASON J.

Petition for review denied

S196663 D059022 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SINGH (INDERJEET)

Petition for review denied

S196692 C062191/C063545 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SMITH (STEVEN **RUSSELL**)

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S196716 B223583 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. PANDURO (JOSE)

Petitions for review denied

S196737 E051058 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LEWIS

(MICHAEL)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be

entitled after this court decides *People v. Jones*, S179552.

S196738 C065219 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. KEISTER (RANDAL SCOTT)

Petition for review denied

S196746 G044156 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RUIZ, JR.,

(IGNACIO)

Petition for review denied

S196749 B219199 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PACIFIC CAISSON &

SHORING, INC. v.

BERNARDS BROS., INC.

Petition for review denied

S196758 A125786 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. BELANT (ANDREW BRIAN)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Villatoro*, S192531.

S196763 B222596 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 BULLOCK (JODIE) v. PHILIP

MORRIS USA, INC.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied The request for appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. Kennard and Chin, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S196798 B235018 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 CORTEZ (GERARDO) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S196841 D057573 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. URREA (FABIAN

CAYETANO)

S196851 H036139 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MATTINGLY (ROGER WAYNE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963. (See also Pen. Code, § 2900.5, subd. (a).)

S196873 C063603 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BURNS (DWAYNE

BRIAN)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S196880 B219922 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PEOPLE v. MILLER (TAURUS DAVON)

Appellant Anderson's petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner may be entitled after this court decides *People v. Favor*, S189317. Appellant Miller's petition for review is denied.

S196932 A127253 First Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. NEMATI

(KHALID)

Petition for review denied

S196935 E054028 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PRINCE (DEBORAH) v. LOMA LINDA UNIVERSITY

MEDICAL CENTER

Petition for review denied

S196984 A130758 First Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. PARODI (DAVID

WATSON)

Petition for review denied

S196987 C064795 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WITTKOP (JUSTIN MATHEW)

Petition for review denied

S197004 A133207 First Appellate District, Div. 3

SHINN (NOAH ARTHUR) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

S197014 B225346 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HUH (HOWARD)

Petition for review denied

S197029 H035784 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARIZMENDI

(ALEXANDER BURGOS)

Petition for review denied

S197035 B217126 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LOOS (SHAWNA

LOUISE)

The petitions for review are denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendants might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963.

S197069 E051652 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v.**

PELAYO-VERDUZCO (ALEXANDER FELIPE)

Petition for review denied

S197090 F059430 Fifth Appellate District STILLMAN (MARSHA) v.

BOARD OF RETIREMENT OF THE FRESNO COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT

ASSOCIATION

Petition for review denied

S197092 B227344 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SHARNESE (NYLONDA) v.

AMERICAN

INTERCONTINENTAL

UNIVERSITY

Petition for review denied

S197098 E054011 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. S.C. (HUDGINS)

S197128 B225418 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 JONES (OFELIA) v. CONOCOPHILLIPS

Petition for review denied

Kennard, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S197135 F057784 Fifth Appellate District STINNETT (HOLLY) v. TAM (TONY)

Petition for review denied

Werdegar and Liu, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S197136 B211597 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 FLAGSHIP THEATRES OF PALM DESERT LLC. v.

CENTURY THEATRES, INC.
Petition for review denied

S197167 B235212 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 SHUBIN (DANN PETER) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & SOUTHWEST

AIRLINES

Petition for review denied

Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S197188 B227409 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. BARAJAS (FRANCISCO JAVIER)

Petition for review denied

S197223 A126546 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (CHARLES DWAYNE)

Petition for review denied

S197254 B229256 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.R.

S197269 B226583 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 BOLGAR (PETER) v. GLEN

DONALD APARTMENTS, INC.

Petition for review denied

S197378 A132959 First Appellate District, Div. 3 McBEE (GREGORY) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS

BOARD & AMERICAN DESIGN ROOFING

Petition for review denied

S197390 B226725 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. GARCIA

(OSVALDO)

Petition for review denied

S197398 B225799 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 IN RE MORGAN R.

Petition for review denied

S197401 F061119 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. FINLEY (BENJAMIN LLOYD)

Petition for review denied

S197408 B225480 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. YOUNGER

(STEVEN K.)

Petition for review denied

S197409 B229165 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. CORREA, JR.,

(RUBEN)

Petition for review denied

S197411 C069352 Third Appellate District JONES (WILLIE RAY) ON

H.C.

S197426 WARDEN (LEE) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION SIX (DUDLEY HOFFMAN MORTUARY)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S197433 A126837 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **S. (JANET) v. A-E. (A.)**/

(CONTRA COSTA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES)

Petition for review denied

S197435 B224653 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. TURNER

(GEARY)

Petition for review denied

S197437 H036138 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CORTEZ (JUAN)

Petition for review denied

S197442 A131349 First Appellate District, Div. 4 BAILEY (JERRY) v.

SAFEWAY, INC.

Petition for review denied

S197443 B229093 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HAIRSTON

(MARCUS)

Petition for review denied

S197457 C064410 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. MOZO

(GAUDENCIO)

Petition for review denied

S197458 H036255 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SIMPSON

(TIMOTHY)

S197465 B229073 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. FERNANDEZ (ROGER)

Petition for review denied

S197475 C062189 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CASKEY (WAYNE ALBERT)

Petition for review denied

S197477 G045153 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ORTEGA (RAUL CABRERA)

Petition for review denied

S197479 C065179 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SHERRON (STEPHEN JOSEPH)

Petition for review denied

S197485 E050771 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CARMONA (DANIEL THOMAS)

Petition for review denied

S197487 G043130 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MEDINA (OSCAR PONCE)

PONCE)

Petition for review denied

S197488 B229756 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 GURROLA (MANUEL) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is denied.

S197490 B228280 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MORFIN (JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S197492 B224446 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SELZNICK (JAY)

S197494 G044187 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE JOSE A.** Petition for review denied

S197510 D058197 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BAGSBY (JAMES LAMONT)

Petition for review denied

S197511 B225202 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SMITH (JASON ANDREW)

Petition for review denied

S197522 D058830 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ (ADRIAN FERNANDO)

Petition for review denied

S197528 A130163 First Appellate District, Div. 2 FOX (MARTIN T.) v. FOX (CHRISTOPHER E.)

Petition for review denied

S197535 D057777 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S. (NEIL) v. L. (MARY) Petition for review denied

S197538 B225384 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. ECHAVARRIA (DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S197554 B228832 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VOIGHTMAN (ALLEN)

Petition for review denied

S197556 A133330 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **GITTI (MICHAEL) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied

S197557 C064739 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. STAPLETON (JUSHAWN)

Petition for review denied

S197560 B226201 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HARVEY

(DANIEL E.)

Petition for review denied

S197567 C069425 Third Appellate District THOMAS (KEITH) v. S.C.

(SALINAS VALLEY STATE

PRISON)

Petition for review denied

S197590 F063476 Fifth Appellate District HARDGRAVES (ROBERT A.)

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S197605 CHAVARRIA (RUBEN) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of error coram vobis denied

S197611 B226541 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MORFIN

(YOLANDA)

Petition for review denied

S192419 DUTRA (DAVID) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192500 CASTRO (MARTIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192601 HOWARD (KARMAL

DEXTER) ON H.C.

S193450 BERTRAM (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193738 LOPEZ (FRANCISCO C.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193758 ABUNDIS (ARMANDO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193872 TAYLOR (JEFFREY LAMONT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S194024 HUGHES (TIMOTHY W.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S194070 VALDEZ (RICK LOUIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S194123 LUNA (EDWARD J.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194149 DALY (KENDRICK) ON H.C.

S194253 McCLENDON (YACUB AVICENNA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194272 FELIZ (RICHARD RAY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194533 ASHANTI (ASKIA SANKOFA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194574 TOWNER (JEREMY MICHAEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S194610 HUBBARD, SR., (EDDIE SHAUN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S194616 JOHNSON (LONNIE LORENZO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S194633 VASQUEZ (ANGELO JACOB) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194639 SASSER (ALBERT) ON H.C.

S194647 WEBB (SAMUEL EARL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194727 BARNETT (KENJI) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194734 HUYNH (NHIEU) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194760 BROOKS (ALFRED LAWRENCE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194832 HARNDEN (JEFF S.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S194872 QUEBE (KIMBERLY J.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S194873 CASTRO (CARLOS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194875 NAPOLEON (LORENZO) ON

HC

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S194877 WADE (MURCHANT

JERALD) ON H.C. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194881 YOCUPICIO (CARLOS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194883 CHENNAULT (STEVE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194886 MORINGLANE (LOUIS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194904 HENDERSON (CHARLOTTE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194911 CUBIAS (AMADEO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S194917 SANDERS (CHARLES) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194922 POTTS (TYRUS C.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S194924 HARDEN (TROY EDWARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

BALLARD (BERNARD) ON

H.C.

S194925 DUBINKA (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194940 OCHOA (GUILLERMO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194977 RODRIGUEZ (DEAN CAIRO)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194980 JACKSON (JULIUS LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S194992

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195002 LAI (PETER) ON H.C. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195007 SOLORIO (MANUEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195011 COBOS (TONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195013 RODRIGUEZ (CESAR) ON

H.C.

S195022

GARCIA (ALBERTO J.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S195023

BECERRA (ANTHONY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195027

PAGE (KEITH JOSEPH) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S195038

TERRAZAS (RICHARD S.)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S195041

GONZALEZ (DAVID P.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S195042

TURNER (TYRONE T.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195075

SPENCER (EDWARD B.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195094

WILLIAMS (JOHN WESLEY)

ON H.C.

S195116 TORRES (JOSEPH G.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S195123 PICHARDO (JORGE GARCIA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195124 NEWTON (GREEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195125 DYSON (HAROLD D.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195199 CARTWRIGHT (DERRICK

ANTRON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195200 SHERRELL (JESSE T.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S195315 GENTERY (JEROME LAMAR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S195370 ARZAGA (DANIEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S196321 GIRON (DANIEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S196323

GIRON (DANIEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063; *In re Wessley W.* (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 240, 246.)

S196637

HARNDEN (JEFF S.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S196944

PAGE (KEITH JOSEPH) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S197723

FORNEY (WINSTON) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S197849

BAZLEY (MIKE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S197983

TURNER (STEPHEN B.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus & application for stay denied

S196497

D056946 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

SOTO (HECTOR LARES) v.

VUJICIC (DARIO)/(LIBERTY

INSURANCE

CORPORATION)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S196971

B221956 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

OLSON (RICHELLE) v. COHEN (SACHA BARON)

Publication request denied (case closed)

Chin and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S197112 A130478 First Appellate District, Div. 1

FONTENOT (ARLENE) v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

Depublication request denied (case closed)

Baxter and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S197516 B226663/B229418 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 WEST CHANDLER

BOULEVARD NEIGHBORHOOD

ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF

LOS ANGELES

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S197549 C061648 Third Appellate District

BROWN, JR., (EDMUND G.) v. CHIANG (JOHN)/(BOWEN)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S048337 PEOPLE v. THOMAS (REGIS DEON)

Application to file over-length brief granted

Respondent's application to file oversized response to appellant's supplemental letter brief is granted.

S086578 PEOPLE v. LOOT

(KENDRICK) & MILLSAP

(BRUCE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Manuel J. Baglanis's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Bruce Millsap's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 20, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S089478 PEOPLE v. MAI (HUNG THANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 28, 2012, counsel's

request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 27, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S103358

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ellen J. Eggers's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 30, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

S112146

PEOPLE v. STAYNER (CARY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 3, 2012.

S190005

HAIDL (GREGORY SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to December 19, 2011.

S191765

BROWNLEE (GARY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to December 27, 2011.

S193602

GEIER (CHRISTOPHER A.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by March 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 20, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60

additional days is contemplated.

S194093

HOELSCHER (LOREN JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to December 28, 2011.

S195922

HINTON (ERIC LAMONT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Gary A. Lieberman's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 27, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 27, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S197586

WHEELER (LEROY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to January 30, 2012.

S051342

PEOPLE v. CUNNINGHAM (JOHN)

Counsel appointment order filed

On the court's own motion, the order of January 17, 2003, appointing Brian A. Pori as habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel for condemned inmate John Lee Cunningham is hereby vacated.

Michael G. Millman, as Executive Director of the California Appellate Project in San Francisco, is hereby appointed to serve as interim habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel of record for John Lee Cunningham.

Brian A. Pori is directed to deliver to Executive Director Millman, within 30 days from the filing of this order, all habeas corpus investigation work product and related materials that he has obtained from John Lee Cunningham or his trial counsel, paralegals, experts and investigators, or any other source.

Brian A. Pori remains appellate counsel of record for John Lee Cunningham pursuant to this court's order of June 22, 2005.

S057321

PEOPLE v. LOMAX (DARREL LEE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of condemned inmate Darrel Lee Lomax for appointment of counsel, the Federal Public Defender for the Central District of California is hereby appointed to represent condemned inmate Darrel Lee Lomax for habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings related to the above automatic appeal now final in this court.

S194705

HORNING (DANNY RAY) ON H.C.

Motion to file document under seal granted

Petitioner's "Motion for Leave to File under Seal Exhibits in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed on July 11, 2011, is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.46.) The Clerk is directed to file under seal Volumes 21 and 22 (which contain Exhibits 109-110, 114, 129, 203, 220, and 304), which were lodged conditionally under seal on July 11, 2011. In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(e). Counsel for petitioner is ordered to serve a copy of these exhibits on counsel for respondent.

Petitioner's "Motion for Leave to File under Seal Declaration, Exhibit in Support of, and Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed on July 20, 2011, is denied. The Clerk is directed to return Volume 23, which contains Exhibit 306 (lodged conditionally under seal on July 20, 2011), and to return "Supplemental Argument to Claim 1" (lodged conditionally under seal on October 31, 2011) to counsel for petitioner, unless petitioner notifies the Clerk in writing within 10 days after the date of this order that these lodged documents are to be filed as part of the public record. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.46(e)(7).)

S194987

GJERDE ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

Amended order

The order filed November 16, 2011, in the above-entitled matter is hereby amended nunc pro tunc to read in its entirety:

"The petition for writ of review is denied.

The court orders that SEAN PATRICK GJERDE, State Bar Number 217467, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SEAN PATRICK GJERDE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. SEAN PATRICK GJERDE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 2, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SEAN PATRICK GJERDE has complied

with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SEAN PATRICK GJERDE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."

S197941

PLITT (BRYANT W.) v.
PLEASANT VALLEY STATE
PRISON (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S198131

MEROLILLO (MARC) v. SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S198004

BAR ADMISSION 2011 (JULY 2011)

General Bar admission order filed

The written motion #1,005 of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted as attorneys at law in all courts of the State of California upon their taking the prescribed oath before a competent officer on or after November 30, 2011, and within the time limits specified by Title 4, Division 1 of the Rules of the State Bar of California, is hereby granted:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

S196870

ACCUSATION OF PIERCE

Petition denied

(accusation)

S195164

KARATZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that BRUCE E. KARATZ, State Bar Number 48088, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

BRUCE E. KARATZ must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196641

NGUYEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KIM TRONG NGUYEN, State Bar Number 162783, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. KIM TRONG NGUYEN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. KIM TRONG NGUYEN must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 11, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KIM TRONG NGUYEN has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KIM TRONG NGUYEN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

KIM TRONG NGUYEN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, and 2015. If KIM TRONG NGUYEN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S196642

PATTON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RAYMOND VAUGHN PATTON, State Bar Number 196791, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RAYMOND VAUGHN PATTON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. RAYMOND VAUGHN PATTON must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 10, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RAYMOND VAUGHN PATTON has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RAYMOND VAUGHN PATTON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

RAYMOND VAUGHN PATTON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196643

PETERSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROY EARNEST PETERSON, State Bar Number 153455, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROY EARNEST PETERSON is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
- 2. ROY EARNEST PETERSON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 11, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROY EARNEST PETERSON has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROY EARNEST PETERSON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, and 2015. If ROY EARNEST PETERSON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S196645

POST ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BARRY JAY POST, State Bar Number 72286, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BARRY JAY POST is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. BARRY JAY POST must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on August 9, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BARRY JAY POST has complied with all conditions of probation, the five-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BARRY JAY POST must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

BARRY JAY POST must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196647

WYKIDAL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GARY CRAIG WYKIDAL, State Bar Number 92437, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. GARY CRAIG WYKIDAL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. GARY CRAIG WYKIDAL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 5, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GARY CRAIG WYKIDAL has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GARY CRAIG WYKIDAL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, and 2015. If GARY CRAIG WYKIDAL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S196650

HILTON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON, State Bar Number 65990, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment from April 5, 2011), and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON must comply with the other conditions of probation

- recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 28, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) STANLEY GOUMAS HILTON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196651

DICKSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LORRAINE DICKSON, State Bar Number 220841, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for 18 months subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LORRAINE DICKSON must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 15, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LORRAINE DICKSON has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LORRAINE DICKSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196652

ARDALAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KAVEH ARDALAN, State Bar Number 188775, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. KAVEH ARDALAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;

- 2. KAVEH ARDALAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on August 10, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KAVEH ARDALAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KAVEH ARDALAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

KAVEH ARDALAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196654

DILLON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHRISTIAN MICHAEL DILLON, State Bar Number 89376, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CHRISTIAN MICHAEL DILLON must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 29, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

CHRISTIAN MICHAEL DILLON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S196655

BARIC ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DRAGO CHARLES BARIC, State Bar Number 105383, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DRAGO CHARLES BARIC is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 18 months, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Marie Orozco in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per annum from October 4, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Marie Orozco, in accordance with Business and

- Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- ii. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
- iii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. DRAGO CHARLES BARIC must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

DRAGO CHARLES BARIC must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195166

KARATZ ON RESIGNATION

Resignation declined

The court declines to accept the voluntary resignation with disciplinary charges pending of BRUCE E. KARATZ, State Bar Number 48088, as a member of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).)